NOV-21-2003 16:40 FROM:MOTOROLA PCS IPD

18475232350

TO: 917038729314

P.5/8

### RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTE

Serial No. 09/769,938 Page 1

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Barros et al.

EXAMINER:

Nguyen, T.

SERIAL NO.: 09/769,938

GROUP:

2172

FILED:

January 26, 2001

CASE NO.:

PT03398U

ENTITLED:

COMMUNICATION DEVICE, SYSTEM, METHOD, AND COMPUTER

PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR SORTING DATA BASED ON PROXIMITY

Motorola, Inc.

Intellectual Property Department 600 North U.S. Highway 45 Libertyville, IL 60048

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### Commissioner:

In response to the Office Action dated May 23, 2003, reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

#### REMARKS

Claims 1-24 are pending in this application.

The Office Action rejects, under 35 U.S.C. § 103, claims 1-8 and 19-24 over DcLorme et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,321,158) and claims 9-18 over Stilp et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,159,465) and Wakabayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,794,222). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the reference or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine the reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the